



# Student Questionnaire on Courses and Teaching Feedback for UGRD 1219 ECONOMIC 2138G LEC 001 MICROECONOMIC POLICY - Millard (Robert Millard)

Project Title: **SQCT — Winter 2022**

Course Audience: **32**  
Responses Received: **10**  
Response Ratio: **31%**

---

## Report Comments

Dear Robert,

This is your Student Questionnaire on Courses and Teaching (SQCT) feedback report.

Please note that, as per the new Faculty Collective Agreement, means, standard deviations, and medians are no longer provided for student ratings in the SQCT Results Reports. [There are several resources available](#) to help with the interpretation of the ratings and student comments.

Reports that include the means, standard deviations, and medians are available to individual faculty members by request from [feedback@uwo.ca](mailto:feedback@uwo.ca)

If an instructor receives a comment that they believe is offensive and wishes to report that comment, they are asked to do so using the new [SQCT Comment Review tool](#) in the Faculty/Staff Extranet. If the comment raises concerns regarding faculty or student safety, Campus Police should be notified immediately.

Historically, offensive comments, such as those that violate the Student Code of Conduct (e.g., discriminatory, harassing, threatening), have been rare at Western.

If you have any questions about the Feedback SQCT online system or the feedback results report, please contact [feedback@uwo.ca](mailto:feedback@uwo.ca).

Sincerely,

Feedback Team  
Western University

## Report Contents:

Section 1: Student Ratings Summary  
Section 2: Student Information  
Section 3: Response Demographics  
Section 4: Supplementary Comments for the Instructor  
Section 5: Supplementary Comments on the Course  
Section 6: Instructor Additional Questions

## Distribution:

Sections 1 (table only) and 2, are available to those with a Western username at [sqct.uwo.ca/results](http://sqct.uwo.ca/results).  
Section 1-3 and 5 are forwarded to the instructor and the dean (who may share the information with the department chair).  
Sections 4 and 6 are forwarded to the instructor only.

---

Creation Date: **Thursday, June 9, 2022**


## Section 1: Student Ratings Summary

Frequency of Responses for the Core SQCT Questions

	Resp	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Disagree Somewhat (3)	Neither Agree nor Disagree (4)	Agree Somewhat (5)	Agree (6)	Strongly Agree (7)
1. Displays enthusiasm	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6
2. Well organized	10	0	0	0	0	2	2	6
3. Presents concepts clearly	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6
4. Encourages participation	10	0	0	0	1	1	1	7
5. Responds to questions clearly	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6
6. Encourages reflection	10	0	0	0	0	2	2	6
7. Provides fair evaluation	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6
8. Provides helpful feedback	10	0	0	0	0	2	1	7
9. Good motivator	10	0	0	0	0	2	2	6
10. Effective as a university teacher	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6
11. Course as a learning experience.	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	6

Note. Resp = Total number of responses; Strongly Disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; Disagree Somewhat = 3; Neither Agree nor Disagree = 4, Agree Somewhat = 5; Agree = 6; Strongly Agree = 7.


### Percentage Agreement and Disagreement for the Core SQCT Questions



## Section 2: Student Information


### Percentage of classes attended

Percentage of classes attended in this course




### Expected Grade

Your expected grade in this course




### Course Status

Status of this course for you



### Initial Level of Enthusiasm

Your level of enthusiasm to take this course, at the time of initial registration




### Section 3: Response Demographics


#### Reliability Assessment

With 10 responses from a survey population of 32 the data presented in this report is considered to be **Insufficient**  
Number of responses needed to be considered sufficient: 13  
Number of responses needed to be considered good: 23

#### Respondents by Students' Home Faculty



#### Respondents by Students' Academic Year



#### Respondents by Students' Cumulative Average



If an instructor receives a comment that they believe is offensive and wishes to report that comment, they are asked to do so using the new **SQCT Review** tool in the Faculty/Staff Extranet (<https://www.extranet.uwo.ca/extranet/>). If the comment raises concerns regarding faculty or student safety, Campus Police should be notified immediately.

Historically, offensive comments, such as those that violate the Student Code of Conduct (e.g., discriminatory, harassing, threatening), have been rare at Western.

---

## Section 4: Supplementary Comments for the Instructor

**Notes:** Final grades must be submitted to the Registrar prior to reviewing comments. Students are reminded that their comments for the instructor should be fair, constructive, useful and relevant.

Comments
this teacher is way perfect for teaching
you are very good
The instructor is very nice and patient!
Professor Millard is a parent instructor. His teaching is full of power and help me better understand the economic.

---

## Section 5: Supplementary Comments on the Course

**Notes:** Final grades must be submitted to the Registrar prior to reviewing comments. Students are reminded that their comments about the course should be fair, constructive, useful and relevant.

Comments
This teacher is perfect for teaching
this course is greatful
This course is perfect!
Microeconomic policy helps me think current policies more logically and it is practical.

No instructor additional questions selected.

### Distribution:

Sections 1 (table only) and 2, are available to those with a Western username at [sqct.uwo.ca/results](http://sqct.uwo.ca/results).

Section 1-3, and 5 are forwarded to the instructor and the dean (who may share the information with the department chair).

Sections 4 and 6 are forwarded to the instructor only.